UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/655,238	09/04/2003	Minoru Imamura	71106	5715
23872 MCGLEW & T	7590 11/16/2007 FLITTLE PC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 922	7	BRINSON, PATRICK F		
SCARBOROUGH STATION SCARBOROUGH, NY 10510-9227			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	, 5.1, 1.1, 1.0, 1.0, 1.0, 1.0, 1.0, 1.0, 1		3754	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

_	m
	:

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Application No.					
	10/655,238	IMAMURA ET AL.				
. Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Patrick F. Brinson	3754				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>12 October 2007</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
·	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	,					
4) Claim(s) 1,3,4,6,7,9 and 10 is/are pending in t	he application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 9 and 10 is/are without	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9 and 10</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s)is/are allowed.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,6 and 7</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 3754

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 is not clear in reciting "a metal plate or plates are adhered to the entire external peripheral surface or to both sides of the expanding slot". As written, it is not clear if one metal plate is adhered to both sides of the expanding slot, thereby covering the slot or if a plurality of metal plates are adhered to the external surface of the body.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3754

Claims 1, 3, 4, 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over PCT WO98/58704 to Sawada in view of U.S. 4,830,061 to Karakawa.

The Sawada reference discloses a fire sheet comprising a lamination of one or more fire resistant rubber sheets (1) composed of a thermally expanding rubber mixture and one or more metallic sheets (2). It is further disclosed that the sheet is used to surround cables laid in a pit. Again Sawada discloses the sheet is utilized to surround and protect members, and therefore discloses its use as a sleeve, however it is not disclosed that the sleeve has a V-shape cut at a longitudinal slit therein. The patent to Karakawa discloses a protective covering for pipes, including a longitudinally extending slit, having a V-shaped opening at the beginning of the slit, which serves as a fitting guide. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the sleeve of Sawada to have a V-shape cut at a longitudinal slot in order to facilitate easier entry of the pipe or materials placed therein. As to the recitation of having a V-shaped opening at each end, it should be noted that it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. In regard to the sleeve having an oval cross-section, it would have been obvious to provide the sleeve in an oval or circular shape wherein both would function to secure a cylindrical member therein and wherein the cross-sectional shape would appear to be a design expedient

Art Unit: 3754

that may be varied in order to meet the needs of the user, since applicant has not disclosed that solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with either a circular or oval cross-section.

## Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents to Miller et al., Creel, Heidebrecht, Labase et al., and Sjotun are all pertinent to Applicant's invention in disclosing fire protective coverings or coverings with longitudinal slots.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Patrick F. Brinson** whose telephone number is (571) 272-4897. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Kevin P. Shaver** can be reached on (571) 272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3754

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Patrick F. Brinson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3754

P. F. Brinson November 13, 2007